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ABSTRACT

Alley cropping is a system in which strips (alleys) of annual crops are grown between rows of trees or shrubs, resembling hedges. Alley cropping is one of promising agroforestry practice to sustain productivity in highly populated areas where landholding sizes are very small and inorganic fertilizer use is very low. This study has been carried out with the aim of evaluating the effect of selected multipurpose tree/shrub species on yield performance of Teff (Eragrostis Teff) through green manure application of hedgerow planting. Four different multipurpose tree/shrub species (Sesbania sesban, Croton macrostachyus, Cacia species and Cajuns cajun) seedlings were used and planted in two rows within the space of 8m between avenue and 0.25m within avenue and the trial was designed as Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Leaf biomass was incorporated to the soil in early July (1-2 weeks before sowing) as green manure. At each plot 15m² (3m x 5m) areas were laid for Teff (Eragrostis Teff) grain and straw yield parameter measurements. The result shows that significantly higher grain and straw biomass yield of Teff (Eragrostis Teff) were obtained through application of Sesbania sesban and Croton macrostachyus green manure as compare to Cajuns cajun and Cacia species. Leaf nutrient concentrations of N and P were found higher in Sesbania sesban than the rest of tree/shrub species. For this reason, farmers are advised to use Sesbania sesban and Croton macrostachyus species for Teff production in alley cropping agroforestry system.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past half century, Ethiopia has about 40% total area was believed to be covered by natural forest which was rich in wild plants and animals; most agricultural lands were highly productive without external inputs; mountainous and hilly areas were covered with vegetation and soil; the surface water resources were at better status and the climatic condition of the country were accord to produce ample yield to feed the existed population. However, all these environmental and natural resources of the country are and will be historical legacy to this and/or the coming generation.

In early decades only 2.5% remain in the total area of the country is covered by natural forest; most agricultural land either remain as waste land or need more inputs; most mountainous and hilly areas lost all its biological resource and soil; most waters bodies either dried or shrieked and polluted and finally the climatic condition influence negatively on productivity. These problems are series in northern, southeast, and central highland parts of Ethiopia. One of the crux reasons for these problems is miss resource exploitation and inappropriate production system, which ignore the concept of sustainability and ecological impact. Hence, it requires the transition to practice that are more environmentally sound and have the potential for the contribution to long-term sustainability(Stephen R.G., 2000).

The traditional agricultural system is targeted on expansion of cultivation land to increase productivity, which caused land shortage and natural resource degradation. In other hand, the modern specialized agricultural system carry out production function only by adding large auxiliary energy inputs (fertilizer and pesticides) and paying little attention to environmental degradation and human health risks. To minimize these negative tendencies, it is necessary to run a sustainable agroecosystem that is environmental sound agricultural system.

Agroforestry is one option of sustainable agroecosystem-based production system (Menfes T., 2003). Appropriate agroforestry system assumed that, can provide the environmental function needed to ensure sustainability and
maintain microclimate and other favorable influences (Nair P.K.R., 1993); practical way to mimic the structure and function of natural ecosystem (Menfes T., 2003), control soil erosion and increase soil fertility (Young A., 1989). These authors reported that in the humid and sub-humid tropics alley cropping is one of promising agroforestry practice to sustain productivity. Therefore, the virtues of alley cropping agroforestry systems to sustain productivity require evaluation of a given agroforestry practice and selected multipurpose shrub species in terms of contribution of yield performance of Teff (*Eragrostis*Teff) through green manure application.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Description of the Study area**

The study area is located on 9° 51’ N latitude and 39°45’ E longitude at an elevation ranges between 1500 and 2300 meter above sea level, Armania district, Northern Shewa Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. The areas is characterized by rough topography, dense population, subsistence agricultural practice and ideal area for agroforestry practice. The climate of the study area is weynadega (sub-tropics) with annual rainfall distribution ranges from 1500-2000 mm, and minimum and maximum temperature ranges from 0-9 °C and 15-25 °C, respectively. The soil depths of the study area reach approximately 1.2 m with surface textural class (upper 0.2 m) clay and 6.93 soil pH.

**Experimental design and data collection procedure**

The experiment was established during 2004 with four different multipurpose tree/shrub species (*Sesbania sesban*, *Croton macroystachus*, *Cacia species* and *Cajuns cajun*) were used for alley cropping agroforestry with most local people used for stable food crop consumption which is called Teff (*Eragrostis*Teff). The trial was designed as Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The selected multipurpose tree/shrub species planted on a plot area of 5m by 8m (40 m²). In each plot, seedlings were planted in two rows within the space of 8m between avenue and 0.25m within avenue. When the tree/shrub species attain height of 2m-3m with 2cm-3cm of Diameter Burst Height(DBH), they were cut at 0.25m above the ground and chopped all the twigs and of the shrubs and incorporated with the soil. Leaf nutrient content, leaf biomass of multipurpose tree/shrub species and Teff grain and straw yield collected. At each plot 3m x 5m (15m²) were laid for Teff (*Eragrostis*Teff) grain and straw yield parameter measurements. Grain yield of Teff was measured by harvesting from all plants within the net plot area 15m². Threshing of Teff done manually cleaned and weighed the grain yield. Teff straw biomass was estimated from the net plot area straw yield by sun dried out the moisture content lost.

**Data analysis**

Analyze of Variance were tested using SAS (version 9.0). The grain yield and biomass of Teff (*Eragrostis*Teff) and leaf nutrient content of multipurpose tree/shrub species data subjected to one-way ANOVA using General Linear Model (GLM) procedures. Mean separation of treatments was carried out using LSD at 5% probability level.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Leaf biomass and Leaf nutrient content**

The planted multipurpose tree/shrub species biomass indicated that (*Table I*), the highest leaf biomass production was obtained from *Sesbania sesban* (47.36 ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (biomass defined as the weight of the tree/shrub species pruning applied to the soil). Whereas, *Croton macroystachus* gave least leaf biomass as compare to *Cajuns Cajun* and *Cacia* species. The present study supported by other finding like alley cropping of *Faideherbiaalbida* and *Leucaenaleucocephala* gave an average yield of 6.18 ton ha⁻¹ mulch biomass for *Leucaenaleucocephala* and 0.97 ton ha⁻¹ for *Faideherbiaalbida* (Chamshama S.A. et al 1998; (Lulandala L.L. et al., 1995). On the other hand, mulch production by *Croton macroystachus* was much lower because of the slow growth performance of the tree as compared to the rest species.

**Table I:** Leaf biomass production potentials and leaf nutrient content of different multipurpose tree/shrub species at Armania district, North Shewa zone, Ethiopia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Leaf biomass (kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Leaf nutrient content (%)</th>
<th>Total nitrogen</th>
<th>Available phosphorus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Sesbania sesban</em></td>
<td>47360</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cacia species</em></td>
<td>4506.8</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cajuns cajun</em></td>
<td>2752</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Croton macroystachus</em></td>
<td>293.2</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


As shown in Table I, leaf nutrient content of Sesbania sesban had highest total nitrogen and available phosphorus as compared to the other multipurpose tree/shrub species treatments. The lowest total nitrogen and available phosphorus leaf nutrient content observed on Cacia species and Croton macrostaychus respectively (Table I). The author Legesse N. 2002 reported that leaf nutrient concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus were significantly higher in non-nodulated than in the nodulated.

**Grain and straw yield of Teff (Eragrostis Teff)**

The result indicated in Table 2 that, there was a significant difference (p ≤ 0.05) between treatments on grain yields of Teff. In the beginning, application of multipurpose tree/shrub species green manure response on Teff grain and straw yield was not significantly different between treatments. After second year, application of selected multipurpose tree/shrub species green manure response on both Teff grain and straw yield, a significant difference was observed between treatments.

**Table 2: Effect of alley cropping of selected multipurpose tree/shrub species on grain and straw yield of Teff at Armania district, North Shewa zone, Ethiopia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Yield (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Sessinia sesban</th>
<th>Croton macrostaychus</th>
<th>Cajuns Cajun</th>
<th>Cacia species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>1084.3a</td>
<td>762.0a</td>
<td>657.3a</td>
<td>477.3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>2838.3a</td>
<td>2578.3a</td>
<td>3280.3a</td>
<td>1949.8a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>1566.7a</td>
<td>1274.3b</td>
<td>963.3b</td>
<td>861.3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>5755.7a</td>
<td>4292.7ab</td>
<td>3459.0b</td>
<td>2716.7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>1315.3a</td>
<td>687.3b</td>
<td>510.0b</td>
<td>497.7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>4396.0a</td>
<td>2035b</td>
<td>1668.0b</td>
<td>1513.7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>800.67a</td>
<td>682.7a</td>
<td>409.67b</td>
<td>429b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>1884.7a</td>
<td>1678.3a</td>
<td>831.7b</td>
<td>905b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rows with the same letters subscription are not significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

Teff grain yield in two subsequent cropping seasons substantially increased as compared to the rest cropping season. This may be related to high rainfall in subsequent years. Grain and straw yield of Teff was highly affected by the application of green manure of Sesbania sesban and Croton macrostaychus (Table 2). However, Teff grain and straw yield was little affected by Cajuns Cajun and Cacia species in the subsequent four years. The decline in Teff grain and straw yield may be attributed to competition for moisture, shade effect, rainfall pattern and nutrients between tree/shrub species and crops (Lawson T.L., and Kang B.T., 1990; Akonde T.P. et al., 1996 and Lainher D.E. et al., 1996).

**Mean Annual of Teff yield**

Four year alley cropping trial conducted at Armania district, Northern Shewa, Ethiopia on a clay soil showed that (Fig 1), using Sesbania sesban and Croton macrostaychus pruning Teff grain and straw yield could be increases at a reasonable level.

**Fig 1: Mean annual grain and straw yield of Tef**

As shown in Fig 1, utilization of Sesbania sesban as green manure increases Teff grain yield by 42%, 91% and 115% and Teff straw yield by 42%, 63% and 113% over Croton macrostaychus, Cajuns Cajun and Cacia species, respectively.
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Growing of Teff in association with N-fixing tree/shrub species in alley cropping agroforestry system had sustained productivity. Mulching or applications of pruning of *Sesbania sesban* and *Croton macrostachyus* green leaf biomass to the Teff cropped field enhance grain and straw yield. From the study we concludes that, incorporation *Sesbania sesban* increases from 91% - 115% grain and 63% - 113% straw, and *Croton macrostachyus* increases from 34%-50% grain and 14%-49% straw yield over Cajuns Cajun and Cacia species, respectively. The same experiments revealed that there was higher available phosphorus and total nitrogen content in *Sesbania sesban*. For this reason, the present study results suggested that supplementing the pruning of *Sesbania sesban* and *Croton macrostachyus* on Teff crop farm increases the grain and straw yield of Teff at reasonable level. Further, work is needed to identify other suitable species for alley cropping in the area and also to test other agroforestry technologies. In addition understanding of leaf decomposition rate and nutrient dynamics processes in alley cropping systems.
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